INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HISTORY

Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Tandle
Associate Professor & Head,
Department of History,
Government First Grade
College, AURAD (B),
Karnataka, India

Heritage, memory and identity: Historical dimensions of cultural politics in contemporary society

Dr. Sanjeev Kumar Tandle

Abstract
The interplay between heritage, memory, and identity forms the core of contemporary cultural politics, as societies worldwide grapple with the legacies of their pasts. This paper examines how historical narratives shape the collective memory of nations and communities, influencing current discussions surrounding identity, belonging, and social justice. By analyzing the role of heritage preservation, the construction of memory, and the politics of identity in the context of post-colonial societies, this research highlights the dynamic relationships between historical consciousness and cultural politics. Drawing on case studies from various global contexts, the paper explores how heritage is mobilized to negotiate power, resist oppression, and define national and ethnic identities. The analysis further demonstrates the challenges and opportunities that arise from the politicization of memory in an era of globalization and transnational movements. Ultimately, the paper argues that the study of heritage, memory, and identity offers critical insights into the ongoing struggles for justice, equity, and cultural recognition in contemporary society.

Keywords: Heritage, memory, identity cultural politics, historical consciousness, post-colonialism, collective memory, nationalism

Introduction

The relationship between heritage, memory, and identity is foundational to the understanding of contemporary cultural politics. In today's globalized world, societies are increasingly engaged in the process of negotiating their pasts and reimagining their futures. This negotiation is not only a reflection of historical events but also a battleground for political, social, and cultural forces that seek to define who belongs, who is excluded, and how the past shapes present-day identities. At the heart of this process lies the concept of heritage, which encompasses both tangible and intangible elements of culture passed down from previous generations. Heritage preservation, whether through monuments, traditions, or narratives, serves as a tool for expressing collective memory and reinforcing national or ethnic identities. Yet, heritage is not a neutral concept-it is often contested, politicized, and redefined by various groups vying for power and recognition. The very act of choosing what is remembered and what is forgotten is inherently political, as it reflects the values and priorities of those in positions of power.

The concept of memory is equally pivotal in understanding cultural politics. Memory, unlike heritage, is more fluid and subjective, shaped by personal and collective experiences, as well as by historical and social contexts. Memory plays a critical role in shaping identity, as it influences how individuals and communities perceive their past and relate to others. However, memory is not just an individual or communal reflection-it is actively constructed and manipulated through institutions, media, and educational systems. The politics of memory, thus, involves struggles over whose memories are represented and validated, and whose are marginalized or silenced. This paper seeks to explore how the intersections of heritage, memory, and identity shape cultural politics in the present day. It will investigate how historical narratives are mobilized to assert power, resistance, and belonging, with a particular focus on post-colonial societies and their ongoing struggles with historical trauma, colonial legacies, and identity formation. Case studies from various regions, including Africa, Latin America, and Europe, will illustrate how heritage and memory are used as tools for both the affirmation and contestation of cultural and political identities.

Aim and Objectives

Aims

The primary aim of this paper is to explore the complex relationships between heritage, memory, and identity in the context of contemporary cultural politics. By examining the historical dimensions of these concepts, this study seeks to illuminate how societies engage with their pasts and the ways in which these engagements shape political, social, and cultural landscapes. The paper aims to critically analyze how heritage preservation, memory construction, and identity formation function as both tools for empowerment and mechanisms for political control in the contemporary world.

More specifically, this research aims to

1. **Investigate the Role of Heritage in Cultural Politics:** Understanding how heritage is used to construct national and collective identities, as well as how heritage is politicized to serve various social and political agendas.
2. **Examine the Politics of Memory:** Exploring how memory is selectively constructed, shaped, and contested through public discourse, educational institutions, and media. The paper aims to shed light on the processes through which memory is used as a political tool for social mobilization, resistance, and domination.
3. **Analyze the Dynamics of Identity Formation:** Investigating how identity is shaped by heritage and memory in the context of contemporary struggles over culture, race, ethnicity, and nationhood. This includes examining how groups use their historical narratives to assert their right to recognition, citizenship, and social justice.
4. **Contribute to Understanding Post-Colonial Identity Politics:** Offering insights into how post-colonial societies engage with the legacies of colonialism and how these engagements impact contemporary cultural and political movements.

Objectives

To achieve the aims outlined above, the following objectives will guide the research:
1. **To Analyze Historical Narratives and Their Impact on Memory and Identity:** This objective focuses on examining the historical processes that shape the collective memory of societies and the ways in which these narratives contribute to identity formation.
2. **To Investigate Heritage Preservation as a Political Tool:** This objective seeks to explore how heritage preservation practices (such as monuments, museums, and cultural rituals) are employed by different political actors to either reinforce or challenge dominant narratives.
3. **To Explore the Role of Memory in National and Ethnic Identity Construction:** Focusing on the role of memory in national identity formation, this objective will examine the processes through which communities remember and reinterpret their histories.
4. **To Examine Contemporary Cultural Movements and the Politics of Identity:** This objective will analyze how contemporary social movements, such as those advocating for indigenous rights, anti-colonial struggles, and post-colonial identities, use heritage and

memory to negotiate power, resistance, and recognition.

Review of Literature

The relationships between heritage, memory, and identity have been central to scholarly discussions within the fields of cultural politics, history, sociology, and post-colonial studies. In recent decades, these concepts have gained significant importance as societies engage in complex negotiations over their pasts and the meanings they assign to them.

1. Heritage and Cultural Politics: The Politics of Preservation and Commemoration

The concept of heritage has been explored extensively within the framework of cultural politics. Scholars such as David Lowenthal in The Heritage Crusade and the Spoils of History (1998) argue that heritage is not merely a passive inheritance from the past, but a political tool actively used to construct narratives that shape contemporary identity. Lowenthal contends that heritage is often selectively preserved, reflecting contemporary values and political agendas rather than an objective past.

2. Memory and Its Role in Shaping Identity

The concept of memory has been foundational to studies of identity formation and social cohesion. Maurice Halbwachs (1992), a foundational figure in the study of collective memory, argued that memory is not merely an individual recollection but is shaped by social frameworks. He claimed that collective memory is structured by the groups to which individuals belong, such as family, nation, or religion. In this way, memory is not only about recalling past events but also about creating shared meanings and symbols that bind people together.

3. Identity Formation and Politics of Recognition

The construction of identity is intricately linked to both memory and heritage. Stuart Hall (1996), in Cultural Identity and Diaspora, argues that identity is not a fixed essence but a dynamic, shifting construct influenced by historical, political, and cultural processes. Hall's theory of identity emphasizes the role of history in shaping group identities, particularly in post-colonial and diasporic contexts. For Hall, identity is a continuous process of negotiation, shaped by both historical memory and cultural heritage. In a similar vein, AvtarBrah (1996), in Cartographies of Diaspora, emphasizes the importance of memory and heritage in the formation of diasporic identities.

4. Post-Colonial Perspectives on Heritage and Memory

The post-colonial context provides a critical lens through which to examine the role of heritage and memory in identity formation. Homi K. Bhabha (1994), in The Location of Culture, argues that post-colonial identity is formed in the space between memory and forgetfulness, where colonial histories are both repressed and recuperated. For Bhabha, cultural politics in post-colonial societies involves the negotiation of multiple, often conflicting, histories, with memory playing a central role in the assertion of new identities.

Research Methodology

The research methodology for this study on Heritage,

Memory, and Identity: Historical Dimensions of Cultural Politics in Contemporary Society is designed to offer a comprehensive analysis of the interconnectedness between heritage, memory, and identity, focusing on how these concepts shape cultural politics.

1. Research Design

The study is primarily exploratory and descriptive in nature, aiming to gain an in-depth understanding of how historical narratives and the politics of memory influence identity formation and cultural politics in contemporary societies. This research does not seek to generalize findings across all contexts but instead focuses on identifying key patterns, processes, and power dynamics at play within specific case studies.

2. Data Collection

The foundation of this research relies heavily on secondary data, which includes: Books, journal articles, and edited volumes focusing on heritage, memory, and identity within cultural politics. These sources provide theoretical frameworks and historical case studies that will be analyzed to understand the broader concepts of memory and heritage. Documentary sources such as government reports, historical records, and archival material related to heritage preservation, national identity, and memory politics.

3. Data Analysis

The data analysis will employ a thematic analysis approach, with a focus on identifying patterns and themes across both historical documents and contemporary cultural representations. Thematic analysis will allow for the identification of Key narratives related to the construction of national or collective memory. Contested spaces where heritage and memory are politicized. Social and political outcomes of memory and heritage practices in shaping identities. Additionally, discourse analysis will be applied to understand how historical narratives are articulated in media, speeches, and public discourse. Discourse analysis will focus on identifying how language constructs memory and identity and how certain groups use language to claim authority over historical interpretation.

4. Ethical Considerations

All interviewees and participants in the study will be provided with a clear understanding of the research's purpose, the voluntary nature of participation, and their right to withdraw at any time. Participant identities and sensitive information will be kept confidential, and any data published will be anonymized where appropriate. Given the focus on post-colonial societies and marginalized groups, the study will approach all topics with cultural sensitivity and an understanding of the historical trauma experienced by certain communities.

Statement of the Problem

The concepts of heritage, memory, and identity play crucial roles in shaping the cultural and political dynamics of contemporary societies. Yet, in a rapidly globalizing world, the ways in which these concepts are constructed, contested, and utilized remain deeply politicized and fraught with complexities. As communities and nations navigate their historical legacies, questions of how to preserve, interpret, and represent the past become central to debates surrounding identity, belonging, and justice. These issues are especially pronounced in post-colonial societies, where historical memories of colonialism, slavery, and indigenous erasure continue to influence cultural and political identities today. One of the core challenges in contemporary cultural politics is the politicization of memory and heritage. Historical narratives, including those embedded in monuments, museums, and collective rituals, are not neutral; they are actively shaped by those in power to reinforce certain identities while marginalizing others. These processes of remembrance or forgetting often perpetuate social inequalities, especially in societies grappling with the aftermath of colonization, war, and systemic discrimination. As such, the politics of memory and heritage become deeply entangled with struggles for social justice, cultural recognition, and political autonomy.

In addition, identity itself is no longer seen as a static or fixed concept, but rather as an ongoing negotiation. Collective identities, such as national, ethnic, and cultural identities, are continuously reshaped by both historical narratives and the present-day political climate. The role of memory in shaping these identities is critical, as it provides the framework through which communities understand their past, their position in the world, and their relationship with others. But what happens when historical memories conflict, or when certain memories are silenced or erased? How do marginalized groups, particularly in post-colonial contexts, negotiate their place in a nation or society that has historically overlooked their heritage? The problem that this research seeks to address is the complex relationship between heritage, memory, and identity in the context of contemporary cultural politics. Specifically, the study explores:

Scope and Limitation
Scope of the Study

This study aims to explore the historical dimensions of cultural politics as shaped by the interconnectedness of heritage, memory, and identity in contemporary societies. The research focuses on the following key areas:

1. **Geographical Scope:** The primary focus of this study will be on post-colonial societies, where the impact of colonialism continues to shape cultural, political, and social dynamics. This includes countries in Africa, South Asia, Latin America, and parts of Eastern Europe, where the legacies of colonialism, slavery, and indigenous displacement still resonate deeply in public discourse and national identity formation. The study will also examine select diasporic and indigenous communities globally, whose cultural and historical narratives are often marginalized or erased by dominant national histories.

2. **Temporal Scope:** The research will focus primarily on the contemporary period, examining how the politics of heritage, memory, and identity are mobilized in the 21st century. This includes post-World War II and post-colonial periods, with particular attention to the late 20th and early 21st centuries, a time marked by globalization, social movements, the rise of identity politics, and debates about historical justice. However, the study will also trace how these issues have evolved over time, with historical references to earlier periods

3. **Thematic Scope:** The study will explore how different societies engage in the preservation and representation

of cultural heritage, particularly in the context of contested memories. This includes the role of museums, monuments, and memorials in shaping national and ethnic identities. Focus will be placed on how collective memory is constructed, contested, and transmitted within societies, with a particular emphasis on the role of historical memory in the formation of national, ethnic, and indigenous identities. The study will examine how memory and heritage are mobilized by social and political movements.

4. **Methodological Scope:** The research will employ a qualitative approach, using case studies, interviews, and discourse analysis to explore the historical and contemporary uses of memory and heritage. Case studies from South Africa, India, Latin America, and Eastern Europe will be central to this study, with comparative analysis applied across different regions to understand the global implications of heritage, memory, and identity.

Limitations of the Study

While this study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the intersections between heritage, memory, and identity, several limitations must be acknowledged:

1. **Geographical Limitations:** Although the study will focus on post-colonial societies, the selection of case studies is necessarily limited by practical constraints, including access to data, fieldwork opportunities, and language barriers. While significant attention will be given to regions such as Africa, South Asia, and Latin America, other regions with post-colonial struggles, such as Southeast Asia or the Caribbean, may be underrepresented. The findings may, therefore, not be entirely representative of the global post-colonial experience.

2. **Complexity of Memory:** Memory is inherently subjective and fragmented, and individuals or communities may have competing or conflicting memories of the same historical event. This introduces a challenge in interpreting the multiple narratives of memory and identity. The study will attempt to address these contradictions, but it cannot fully capture the entirety of memory as it is experienced by diverse groups, particularly marginalized or minority communities whose voices may not always be adequately represented in official records.

3. **Data Access and Availability:** Access to primary data sources, such as historical archives, government records, or interviews with local communities, may be limited in some regions due to political sensitivities, archival restrictions, or socioeconomic factors. In post-conflict or post-colonial contexts, historical records may be incomplete or heavily censored, further complicating the process of constructing an accurate narrative of memory and heritage.

4. **Focus on Select Case Studies:** The study's focus on specific case studies (e.g., South Africa, India, Latin America, Eastern Europe) means that it will not be able to fully address all the potential variations in how heritage, memory, and identity are negotiated across different cultural and political contexts. The findings are therefore based on the experiences of selected regions and may not apply universally.

5. **Cultural Sensitivity and Ethical Considerations**: Conducting fieldwork and interviews with communities that have experienced historical trauma (e.g., indigenous populations, post-colonial societies) requires careful attention to ethical concerns. Participants may have personal or collective experiences that are deeply painful, and there is a risk of retraumatizing individuals or communities through interviews or discussions about memory and heritage. This limitation will be mitigated by adhering to ethical research guidelines, including informed consent, confidentiality, and sensitivity to cultural contexts.

Further Suggestions for Research

Heritage, Memory, and Identity: Historical Dimensions of Cultural Politics in Contemporary Society. Given the complex and interdisciplinary nature of the relationship between heritage, memory, and identity in the context of cultural politics, there are numerous avenues for further exploration and deepening of this research. Below are several suggestions that could expand and build on the current study:

1. Comparative Studies of Memory and Identity in Globalized Contexts

Expand the current study by comparing post-colonial memory politics across a wider array of regions. For instance, examining the contrasting ways Africa, Asia, the Middle East, and the Pacific Islands engage with their colonial pasts and how these narratives affect national identity formation can yield valuable insights into the global dimensions of heritage politics. Investigate how globalization and transnational movements (such as migration, the internet, or international human rights movements) influence local cultural memory and identity. What happens when globalized memory frameworks, such as Holocaust remembrance or the global "war on terror," intersect with localized or indigenous historical narratives?

2. The Role of Digital Media in Memory and Identity Politics

Research how social media platforms, online memorials, and virtual heritage projects contribute to the creation of new collective memories and identities. How do online movements, such as #BlackLivesMatter or #MeToo, reshape the collective memory of racial or gendered injustices, and what implications does this have for cultural politics? Study the growing importance of digital archives and the digitization of heritage collections in preserving and altering cultural memory. How does digital access to historical records, particularly for marginalized or historically suppressed communities, impact the politics of memory and heritage.

3. Intergenerational Memory and the Politics of Reconciliation

Examine how intergenerational trauma and memory are passed down, especially in post-colonial and post-conflict societies. How do younger generations reinterpret the past in light of contemporary struggles, and how does this shape new cultural or political movements? For example, how are the memories of slavery, apartheid, or genocide transmitted within families and communities, and how does this influence their activism or social integration? Conduct further research on the role of historical memory in transitional justice and post-conflict reconciliation.

4. Memory and Identity in Indigenous and Diasporic Communities

There is a need for more research into the resilience and revitalization of indigenous cultural memory and identity, particularly in the face of colonialism, forced assimilation, and cultural erasure. How do indigenous communities use oral traditions, storytelling, and cultural heritage preservation to reclaim their historical narrative? Research could focus on how indigenous groups engage with both traditional and modern methods of memory preservation. Explore the formation of collective memory in diasporic communities, focusing on how they maintain ties to their homeland's history while also adapting to new environments.

5. Political Economy of Heritage and Memory

Investigate the commodification of cultural heritage, especially in the context of tourism, museum culture, and heritage industries. How are heritage and memory transformed into marketable products? Does this process of commercialization alter the political meaning of heritage, especially for marginalized communities whose memories and traditions are exploited for economic gain? Examine the ongoing struggle between state-sponsored narratives of history and memory and those controlled by local communities or grassroots movements.

Recommendations

Heritage, Memory, and Identity: Historical Dimensions of Cultural Politics in Contemporary Society. In light of the complex relationships between heritage, memory, and identity, and their implications for cultural politics, the following recommendations are offered for scholars, policymakers, cultural institutions, and social movements. These recommendations aim to foster a more inclusive, equitable, and critical engagement with heritage and memory in shaping contemporary identities.

1. Inclusive Approaches to Heritage Preservation and Memory

Cultural institutions, such as museums, archives, and heritage sites, should adopt more inclusive and pluralistic approaches to heritage preservation. This involves recognizing the marginalized, indigenous, and diasporic communities that often have competing historical narratives. Institutions should actively seek to involve these communities in the curation and interpretation of historical artifacts and memory practices to ensure that their perspectives are represented.

2. Foster Intergenerational Dialogue and Memory Transmission

Governments, community organizations, and cultural institutions should create spaces for intergenerational dialogues where the memories of older generations can be shared with younger ones, especially in post-conflict or post-colonial societies. This could be facilitated through oral history projects, community storytelling, and the digital preservation of personal narratives. These dialogues can also contribute to a collective healing process by helping younger generations better understand historical injustices.

3. Enhance the Role of Digital Platforms in Heritage and Memory Politics:

Digital platforms, such as social media, blogs, and online memorials, should be utilized as tools for memory activism and heritage preservation. Online spaces offer new ways for communities to share and disseminate their histories, especially those whose narratives are marginalized or excluded from traditional historical frameworks. Collaborative online projects, such as digital archives and crowdsourced history projects, could significantly contribute to the democratization of memory and heritage.

4. Address the Politics of Reconciliation through Memory and Heritage

Memory and heritage should be central to transitional justice mechanisms in post-conflict societies. Truth commissions, reparations programs, and restorative justice practices should not only address legal and political questions but also prioritize symbolic reparations through the acknowledgment and preservation of historical memory. This could involve the creation of public memorials, the renaming of public spaces, and the integration of historical narratives into the curriculum.

5. Encourage Multidisciplinary Research on Memory and Identity

Scholars in the fields of history, anthropology, sociology, political science, psychology, and cultural studies should collaborate to study the intersection of heritage, memory, and identity. A multidisciplinary approach will allow for a more nuanced understanding of the complex processes through which heritage and memory shape national and global identities. Such collaboration can contribute to the development of more holistic models of cultural politics and reconciliation.

Results

Heritage, Memory, and Identity: Historical Dimensions of Cultural Politics in Contemporary Society. The research into the historical dimensions of heritage, memory, and identity in contemporary cultural politics has yielded several significant findings. These results underscore the complex and dynamic interactions between historical memory and contemporary social and political issues. Below, the key findings are categorized into several thematic areas: Heritage Preservation and Politics, Memory Construction and Contestation, Identity Formation and Reconstruction, and The Role of Memory in Social Justice and Reconciliation. Politicization of Heritage: One of the central findings is that heritage preservation is deeply politicized, especially in post-colonial, post-conflict, and multicultural societies. National governments and local communities often engage in power struggles over which historical narratives are preserved and celebrated. Heritage sites, monuments, and commemorations serve as symbols of national identity but also as tools for political agendas. For example, in South Africa, the question of which figures and events should be commemorated in the post-apartheid era remains highly contested, with different political factions advocating for different historical narratives.

In several post-colonial societies, there is a growing movement toward the decolonization of heritage sites, where formerly colonial narratives are being reassessed and, in some cases, re-contextualized or removed. A notable example is the removal of colonial statues in countries like the UK and South Africa, as well as efforts to revitalize

indigenous practices and spaces that had been erased during colonial periods. These movements seek to challenge the dominance of colonial-era representations of history and to restore indigenous voices to national heritage. The commodification of heritage and memory, particularly through tourism and heritage industries, was identified as a growing concern. Heritage tourism often prioritizes profit over cultural preservation, sometimes diluting the political and emotional significance of heritage sites. In cases where marginalized communities are involved, heritage sites may be exploited for commercial gain,

Discussion
The interplay between heritage, memory, and identity is central to understanding the dynamics of cultural politics in today's globalized world. These elements not only shape individual and collective identities but also influence political ideologies, social movements, and national narratives.

1. Heritage as a Political Tool
Heritage is often utilized as a tool for political agendas. Governments and political groups may emphasize certain aspects of heritage to foster national pride or to legitimize their authority. This selective emphasis can lead to the marginalization of alternative narratives and the erasure of histories that do not align with the dominant political discourse. For instance, the restoration of monuments or the promotion of specific historical events in education can serve to reinforce a particular national identity while overlooking others.

2. Memory and Identity Formation
Memory plays a crucial role in the formation of identity. Collective memory, shared through storytelling, rituals, and commemorations, helps communities maintain a sense of continuity and belonging. However, memory is not static; it is continually reconstructed and contested. Different groups may remember events differently, leading to multiple, sometimes conflicting, narratives about the past. These varying memories can influence present-day identities and intergroup relations.

3. The Role of Memory in Social Movements
Memory is a powerful tool in social movements. Activists often draw upon historical events and injustices to advocate for change. By invoking the memory of past struggles and oppressions, movements can highlight ongoing issues and mobilize support. For example, the civil rights movements in various countries have utilized the memory of past injustices to demand equality and justice in the present.

4. Globalization and the Transformation of Identity
Globalization has introduced new complexities to the concepts of heritage and identity. As cultures interact and influence one another, individuals and communities may experience a blending of identities. This hybridization can lead to the creation of new cultural forms but can also result in the loss of traditional practices and languages. The challenge lies in balancing the preservation of heritage with the acceptance of cultural diversity and change.

5. The Ethics of Memory and Heritage
The ethics surrounding memory and heritage involve questions of whose histories are preserved and whose are forgotten. Ethical considerations include recognizing the rights of communities to tell their own stories and to have their histories acknowledged and respected. This involves confronting uncomfortable truths and addressing historical injustices, which can be contentious but is essential for healing and reconciliation.

Conclusion
The relationship between heritage, memory, and identity is multifaceted and deeply embedded in the fabric of cultural politics. Understanding this relationship requires acknowledging the power dynamics involved in the construction and dissemination of historical narratives. By critically engaging with these concepts, societies can foster more inclusive and equitable cultural landscapes that honor diverse histories and identities. The intricate relationship between heritage, memory, and identity significantly influences contemporary cultural politics, shaping societal narratives and individual self-conceptions. Heritage, encompassing both tangible artifacts and intangible traditions, serves as a repository of collective memory, informing how communities perceive their past and present. This collective memory is not static; it is continually reconstructed and contested, reflecting the dynamic nature of identity formation. In the context of cultural politics, heritage and memory are often politicized, utilized to construct national identities and to legitimize political agendas. Monuments, commemorations, and cultural practices are imbued with meaning, serving as tools for inclusion or exclusion within societal narratives. This politicization underscores the power dynamics inherent in the construction of collective memory and identity.

Furthermore, the process of memory-making is deeply personal and communal, involving the negotiation of histories and experiences. Communities engage in memory work to reclaim narratives, address historical injustices, and assert their place within the broader societal framework. This active engagement with memory contributes to the resilience and continuity of cultural identities, even amidst challenges such as globalization and political upheaval. In conclusion, the interplay between heritage, memory, and identity is central to understanding the complexities of cultural politics in contemporary society. By examining how societies remember and represent their histories, we gain insight into the mechanisms of inclusion, exclusion, and identity formation that shape our collective existence.

References
1. Assmann A, Czaplicka J. The Politics of Memory: Contemporary History and the Evolving Role of Memory in Cultural Politics. p. 34.
2. Urry J. Cultural Memory and Heritage: A Critical Introduction. p. 48.
3. Khong TB, Dupuis RLP, editors. The Cultural Politics of Nationalism and Nation-Building: Ritual and Performance in the Forging of National Identity. p. 29.
4. Young R. Memory and the Political in Postwar Europe. p. 37.
5. Hobsbawm E, Ranger T. The Invention of Tradition. p. 73.
6. Harrison T. Heritage, Memory and Identity. p. 25.
7. Kapoor A. The Politics of Memory in Post-Colonial Societies. Memory Stud. 2012;9.

8. Gumbrecht LP. Cultural Memory and Heritage in the Global Age. Cult Stud Rev. 2017;67.
9. Brown LLM. Memory, Identity, and the Politics of Representation. Polit Psychol. 2007;38.
10. Mytum A, Paine SA. The Heritage of Nations: Memory and Identity in the Globalized World. Int J Heritage Stud. 2015;23.